

School Improvement Plan

Title I, Part A



School Year:	2025 - 2026
School Name:	Middle School
Principal Name:	Dr. Tracy Guillory
Date Submitted:	5/29/2025
Boyisian Data(s)	May 21 st , May 27 th , May 28 th , July
Revision Date(s):	31,3

Distri	ct	Cobb County School District				
Name	2					
Schoo	ol	Lindley Middle School				
Name	2					
Team	Lead	Dr. Marcella Jones				
Pos	ition	Teacher Leader				
Emo	ail	Marcell.jones@cobbk12.org				
Pho	ne	770-819-2496				
	Federal Funding Options to Be Employed in This Plan					
		(SWP Schools. Select all that apply.)				
Х	Tradit	ional funding (all Federal funds budgeted separately)				
	Conso	nsolidated funds (state/local and federal funds consolidated) - Pilot systems ONLY				
	"Fund	d 400" - Consolidation of Federal funds only				
		Factor(s) Used by District to Identify Students in Poverty				
		(Select all that apply.)				
Х	Free/Reduced meal applications					
	Comm	munity Eligibility Program (CEP) - Direct Certification ONLY				
	Other	er (if selected, please describe below)				

In developing this plan, briefly describe how the school sought and included advice from individuals (teachers, staff, other school leaders, paraprofessionals, specialized instructional support personnel, parents, community partners, and other stakeholders).

References: Schoolwide Checklist 3.b.[Sec. 2103(b)(2)]

School Response: The local academic coach met with each content area during their planning sessions on April 15, 2025, May 21, and May 22, 2025, during the collaboration. The team members analyzed student data to identify root causes, set goals, and develop action steps that would lead to the desired results.

Lindley Middle School implemented a School Improvement Plan Committee, which was comprised of Administrators, Teacher Leaders, Department Chairs, a Title I Science Coach, and a local Academic Coach who helped me analyze the SIP goals, data, and identify and address the school's needs. The final draft will be shared with the staff, parents, and the Lindley Middle School community.

IDENTIFICATION of STAKEHOLDERS

Stakeholders are those individuals with valuable experiences and perspectives who will provide the team with important input, feedback, and guidance. Stakeholders must be engaged in the process to meet requirements of participating federal programs. Documentation of stakeholder involvement must be maintained by the school. Suggested stakeholder participation includes the following roles. A parent is required.

Positions and Roles to consider when developing the SIP Committee.

Required Stakeholders	Suggested Stakeholders
Administrative Team	Parent Facilitators
Content or Grade Level Teachers	Media Specialists
Local School Academic Coaches	Public Safety Officers
District Academic Coaches	Business Partners
Parent (a Non-CCSD Employee)	Social Workers

Student (Required for High Schools)	Community Leaders	
Structured Literacy Coach (For CSI/ TSI Schools)	School Technology Specialists	
MRESA School Improvement Specialist	Community Health Care Providers	
(For Federally Identified Schools)		
	Universities or Institutes of Higher Education	

SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN COMMITTEE MEMBERS - SIGNATURE PAGE

The Comprehensive Needs Assessment (CNA) and School Improvement Plan (SIP) team consists of individuals responsible for working collaboratively throughout the needs assessment and plan development process. Ideal team members possess knowledge of programs, the capacity to plan and implement the needs assessment, and the ability to ensure stakeholder involvement. Documentation of team member involvement must be maintained by the school. Multiple meetings should occur, and a sign-in sheet must be maintained for each meeting.

Meeting Dates:	April 30, 2025	May 15, 2025	May 21, 2025	
----------------	----------------	--------------	--------------	--

Position/Role	Printed Name	Signature
Principal	Dr. Tracy Guillory	
Assistant Principal	Dr. Bethany Harrison	
Assistant Principal	Sara Johnson	
Assistant Principal	Dr. George Weathers	
6 th Grade ELA	Dr. Tammy Holdip	
6 th Grade Mathematics	Jakiya Robinson	

6 th Grade Social Studies	Stephaine Postell	
6 th Grade Science	Michele Prewett	
7 th Grade ELA	Patricia Collins	
7 th Grade Mathematics	Ashley Favors Daniels	
7th Grade Science- Griffin	Ebony Griffin	
8 th Grade ELA	Angela Bourrage	
8 TH Grade Mathematics	Cedric Rumph	
8 th Grade Science	Erica Jordan	
8 th Grade Social Studies	Sherylanne Wesley	
ESOL	Danielle Sims	
ESOL	Njeri Fulwood	
SWD	Lionel Butler	
SWD	Mr. Felix Obasuyi	
Instructional Coach	Dr. Marcella Jones	
ESOL	Tamela Mckenzie	
Media Specialist	Tawanda Coaxum	

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Evaluation of Goal(s)

(References: Schoolwide Checklist Section 1114(b)(1)(A))

Collaborate with your team to complete the questions below regarding the progress the school has made toward each goal in the School Improvement Plan (SIP).

Previous Year's Goal #1	By the end of the 2024-2025 school year, 6th-8th grade students will increase their levels 3 and 4 scores from 29.8% (255 Students) to 32% (297 Students) on the English Language Arts Georgia Milestones Assessment.				
	Was the goal met?				
What data supports the outcome of the goal? Schoolwide, 211 students out of 839 (25.1%) Georgia Milestones ELA Levels 3-4 6th Grade- 86 students out of 304 (28.2%) 7th Grade- 59 students out of 270 (21.8%) 8th Grade- 66 students out of 265 (24.9%) Schoolwide, 211 students out of 839 (25.1%)					
	Reflecting on Outcomes				
If the goal was not met , what actionable strategies could be implemented to address the area of need?	 Request for PD sessions -Science of Reading for all ELA teachers Request for monthly PD sessions on new standards Implement effective strategies from PD with fidelity Implement writing workshop with fidelity Consistently utilizing data meetings after each common assessment to adjust instruction to meet the needs of students. Create weekly lesson plans with strategies Writing folders for all students with consistent feedback 				
If the goal was met or exceeded, what processes, action steps, or interventions contributed to the success of the goal and continue to be					

implemented to	
sustain progress?	
Previous	By the end of the 2024-2025 school year, 6th-8th grade students will increase their levels of 3 and 4 scores from 25% (219 Students)
Year's	to 28% (261 Students) on the Mathematics Milestones Assessment.
Goal #2	
	Was the goal met? XES NO Partially
	Georgia Mathematics Milestones 2025- 6 th -8 th grade students, Levels 3-4
What data	Based on the data, 236 out of 264 students scored levels 3-4 (28%).
	• 6 th Grade Mathematics- 21.8% (67 Students out of 307)
supports the	• 7 th Grade Mathematics –22.3% (56 Students out of 275)
outcome of the	• 8 th Grade Mathematics-42% (113 Students out of 271)
goal?	Schoolwide, 236 students out of 859 students are 28%
	Reflecting on Outcomes
If the goal was not	
met, what	
actionable	
strategies could	
be implemented	
to address the	
area of need?	

If the goal was met or exceeded, what processes, action steps, or interventions contributed to the success of the goal and continue to be implemented to sustain progress?	 Three-Read Protocol Weekly Data Team Meetings (Academic Coach facilitated weekly Data Team Meetings with all content team members. Members discussed trends in student performance and identified ways each content could contribute to improving students' proficiency in mathematics (cross-curriculum connections). Walkthroughs/Feedback Implementation of data error analysis and monitoring teachers' effectiveness to ensure implementation with fidelity Teachers analyzed students' math inventory, unit tests, quizzes, and district interim tests to differentiate between instruction. The usage of IXL, tutoring, team collaboration, data team meetings, data folders, intervention block, March Madness, Progress Learning, and data error analysis contributed to the success of the goal 				
Previous Year's Goal #3	By the end of the 2024-2025 school year 8th grade students will increase levels 3 and 4 from 28% (82/289Students) to 31.3% (83/265 Students) on the Social Studies Georgia Milestones 6 th -7 th grade students scoring proficient and distinguished on interim assessments will increase from 10% (29 Students) to 20% (58 Students).				
	Was the goal met? XES NO Partially				
What data supports the outcome of the goal?	Based on the data, Georgia Milestones Social Studies met the goal to increase levels 3-4 from 28 to 31.3. 6 ^{th and 7^{th Grade} students increased on the interim from 10% (29 students) to 20% (58 students)}				
	Reflecting on Outcomes				
If the goal was not met, what actionable strategies could be implemented to address the area of need?					

If the goal was
met or exceeded,
what processes,
action steps, or
interventions
contributed to the
success of the
goal and continue
to be
implemented to
sustain progress?

- School-wide intervention weekly to remediate and enrich students based on assessments
- Leveled Texts
- Heavy Focus on Annotation
- Vocabulary (Flash Cards, Frayer Models)
- Word use strategies so students could recognize words with and without context
- Pre-/Post Tests- Data Meetings
- Study Guides
- Georgia Studies yellow workbooks to reinforce the curriculum
- Progress Learning and quizzes to remediate and review
- March Madness Overtime They identified bubble kids and conducted two planning sessions a week to implement targeted interventions.
- Saturday and after-school tutoring

Comprehensive Needs Assessment – Summary of Findings (Schoolwide) Section 1114(b)(1)(A)

ELA DATA						
ELA Milestones Longitudinal Data	SY22 % of students scoring proficient & distinguished	SY23 % of students scoring proficient & distinguished	SY24 % of students scoring proficient & distinguished	SY25 % of students scoring proficient & distinguished		
6 th Grade			25.9%	28.2%		
7 th Grade	21.5%	31.9%	21%	21.8%		
8 th Grade	24.5%	28%	41.2%	24.9%		

	Reading						Reading Text Types			Writing														
	Key Ideas & Details				Craft 8	Ž.	Vo	cabula	ary	l	iterary	,	Info	rmatio	onal	Text	Types	and	Con	ventio	ons	R	esearc	h
Beacon ELA Data – Spring				Structure/ Integration of Knowledge & Skills		Acquisition & Use						Purposes												
	SN	NT	Р	SN	NT	Р	SN	NT	Р	SN	NT	Р	SN	NT	Р	SN	NT	Р	SN	NT	Р	SN	NT	Р
6 th Grade	SN	NT	Р	SN	NT	Р	SN	NT	Р	SN	NT	Р	SN	NT	Р	SN	NT	Р	SN	NT	Р	SN	NT	Р
7 th Grade	32	48	20	31	53	17	33	50	17	31	49	20	28	58	15	30	54	16	45	45	10	29	53	18
8 th Grade	42	43	14	35	49	16	38	48	13	39	45	16	42	43	15	42	42	16	57	34	9	43	45	12

Source	Strengths	Weaknesses
SY25 ELA Milestones (Grade Levels & Subgroups)	For Grade Levels, ELs and SWD Grade Levels (all students):	For Grade Levels, ELs and SWD Grade Levels (all students):
	 From SY 24 to SY 25, the percentage of proficient and distinguished 6th-graders increased from 25.9% to 28.2%. From SY 22 to SY 24, the 8th-grade proficient and distinguished percentage increased from 24.5% to 41.2%. EL/SWD- No strengths 	

			students scored below gra Assessment.	identified as SWD. Out of 101 students 73 of those ade level on the Reading Georgia Milestones
Beacon Assessment – ELA (Grade Levels & Subgroups)	Grade Levels (all students): Strengths For Grade Levels, Els and SW 6-8 (all students): Based on the BEACON results students have shown the most to Spring 2025 in the followin 6 th Grade Students (All) Winter 2024	in ELA, our 6 th grade st growth from Fall 2024	Grade Levels (all students) Weaknesses 6-8 (all students) For Grade Levels Els and S Winter 2024 Informational Text Support Needed 28% Near Target 58%	
	Key Ideas and Details Details Support Needed 32% 23% Near Target 48% Prepared 20% Based on the BEACON data reideas and details at least 9% near target or prepared from	Key Ideas and Support Needed Near Target 57% Prepared 19 esults in the domain key of the students moved to	Prepared 15% Based on the BEACON dat	Prepared 19% ta results in the domain informational reading are still in near target/support needed from winter
	7 th Grade Students Winter 2024 Vocabulary Support Needed 38% 34% Near Target 48% Prepared 13% Based on the BEACON results students have demonstrated		Prepared 16% Winter 2024 6th Grade Support Needed 27% Near Target 59% Prepared 14% Winter 2024 7th Grade	Prepared 19% Spring 2025 6th Grade Support Needed 28% Near Target 59% Prepared 13% Spring 2025 7th Grade

vocabulary. The students showed improvement from needing support to near target or prepared.

Support Needed 39%
Near Target 51%
Prepared 10%

Support Needed 31% Near Target 51% Prepared 17%

8th Grade Students

Fall 2024 Spring 2025
Vocabulary Vocabulary
Support Needed 37% Support Needed

31%

Near Target 44% Near Target 45% Prepared 19% Prepared 24%

Based on the BEACON results in ELA

Our 8th-grade students have demonstrated strengths in the domain's vocabulary and text types and purposes.

Based on the BEACON data results from fall 2024 to spring 2025, 8th-grade students in the vocabulary domain showed a 5% increase from needing support to being near the target or prepared. Additionally, the students demonstrated greater preparedness in the domain vocabulary from Winter 2024 to Spring 2024, by 7%.

Winter 2024 Spring 2025
Text Types and Text and Types
Purposes Purposes

Support Needed 38% Support Needed 35% Near Target 43% Near Target 42% Prepared 19% Prepared 23% Based on the BEACON data results in the domain text types and purposes from fall 2024 to spring 2025 8th grade students showed a 5% move from support needed to prepare.

EL and **SWD** showed some improvement, but students still exhibit weaknesses in several domains.

ELL and SWD students showed weakness in informational text/literacy.

Check the system that contributes to the root cause: ☐ Coherent Instruction ☐ Professional Capacity ☐ Effective Leadership ☐ Supportive Learning Environment	of complex texts.	effective instructional strategies that meet the needs of varied learners and support the analysis h analyzing, comparing, and drawing conclusions from texts.			
ACCESS Scores (Grade Level Reading & Writing)	Grade Levels (all students): 6 th - 8 th Grade Students EL: Listening 2024 33.1% 2025 33.1% + 0 • Average listening scores on the ACCESS assessment are the highest of the four domains based on their score scale. Speaking 2024 82.7% 2025 83.7% + 1	Grade Levels (all students): 6 th - 8 th Grade Students EL: • The average score of students who scored 4.0 on the ACCESS Reading test decreased by 1.9% from 2024 to 2025. • The average score of students who scored below 4.0 on the ACCESS Writing test decreased by 5.6 from 2024 to 2025. Writing 2024 87.7% 2025 82.1% -5.6 Reading 2024 79.4% 2025 77.5% -1.9			
Check the system that contributes to the root cause: ☐ Coherent Instruction ☐ Professional Capacity ☐ Effective Leadership ☐ Supportive Learning Environment	Root Cause Explanation:				

ELA Common Assessments (Grade Level Reading & Writing)	Grade Levels (all students): 6 TH Grade Unit 1 51% Narrative Unit 2 -55% Unit 3- 57% Unit 4- 54%	Grade Levels (all students): Grade Level (all 6 th -8 th) The data reveals that students in all grade levels struggled with reading comprehension, making connections, and understanding the central ideas. The data also reveals that students are showing weaknesses in their writing skills.
	7 th Grade Unit 1- 64% Narrative Unit 2- 68% Informative Unit 3- 56% Argumentative 8th Grade Unit 2-42% Unit 3- 63%	Based on the data results, EL/SWD subgroups are showing weaknesses in vocabulary, reading comprehension, and writing skills. EL: Students are showing weaknesses in vocabulary, reading comprehension, and writing skills.
	Unit 3 -63% Common Assessment 54% Verb Moods 67% EL: 6 th Grade	SWD: Students are showing weaknesses in vocabulary, reading comprehension, and writing skills.
	Students demonstrated strength in explaining how an author develops the narrative point of view. 7 th Grade and 8 th Grade Students showed strength in determining two or more central ideas in a text. SWD: No strengths	

	Students showed strength in determining a	
	theme or central idea of a text and analyze it.	
Check the system that	Root Cause Explanation:	
contributes to the root cause:		ent literacy and incorporating informational texts regularly in their lessons. ina. Additional strategies are needed to support students and teachers further.
□ Coherent Instruction	Professional development is needed to utilize value.	arious instructional strategies when teaching the writing process to students
☑ Professional Capacity	across different academic levels.	
☐ Effective Leadership☐ Supportive Learning Environment		
School Instructional Walks	Teachers utilized the district instructional framework	The data revealed that 80% of the teachers implemented strategies from the
(Grade Level)	in all classes.	PL upon the Title 1 ELA coaches' walk.
Check the system that	 Root Cause Explanation: 100% of the teachers did not implement the 	strategies from the PL with fidelity
contributes to the root cause:	The rigorous common assessment of teachers.	or-made assignments.
☑ Coherent Instruction		
☑ Professional Capacity☐ Effective Leadership		
☐ Supportive Learning Environment		
Other Summary Data		
☐ Teacher Survey☐ Parent Survey		
☐ Professional Learning Survey		
□		
Charletha areatamathat	Post Cours Fundametics	
Check the system that contributes to the root cause:	Root Cause Explanation:	
contributes to the root cause.		
☐ Coherent Instruction		
☐ Professional Capacity ☐ Effective Leadership		

☐ Supportive Learning Environment	

ELA - IMPROVEMENT PLAN							
	By the end of the end of the 2025-2026 school year 6 th -8 th g students) to (502 students) 60.9 % as measured by the Engli		57.7% (484				
GOAL #1: ELA	year. 7 th grade students will increase levels 2-4 from 53.9 year. (6 graders to 7 graders)	% (165 students) to 70% (177 students) by the end of the 20% (164 students) to 56.9% (173 students) by the end of the 2 % (149 students) to 58.1% (157 students) by the end of the 2	2025-2026 school				
Root Cause(s) to be Addressed:	 Limited implementation of effective instructional strategies that meet the needs of varied learners and support the analys complex texts. (Informational texts/ reading skills) Students struggle with analyzing texts, comparing texts, and making conclusions. 						
Funding Source(s) SWP Checklist 5.e		ther:					
Components	Implementation Plan SWP Checklist 3. a 34 CFR § 200.26	Evaluation Plan SWP Checklist 3.b 34 CFR § 200.26	Resources				

Who?	Implementation Performance Target:	Evaluation Performance Target:	CTLS District
One Action (Verb)	By the end of the school year, 100% of teachers will	538 out of 820 (65%) students will score proficient or	Unit Resources
What?	implement evidence-based strategies to support reading	higher on the common formative assessment for each	
Frequency	comprehension.	unit.	CTLS Modules
Target Student Group	Implementation Plan: Preplanning: Teachers will receive training in implementation. August-September: Unit 1 (First Nine Weeks) During CCC meetings, 6-8 teachers will unpack Unit 1 standards. 6th to 8th-grade teachers will identify and implement evidence-based strategies that align with Unit 1 standards. Walkthroughs will be conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of Unit 1 strategies. The Local/Title Coaches/Professional Learning Coordinator will meet with individual teachers to provide feedback and inform them of the next steps. October-December: Unit 2 (2 nd Nine Weeks) During CCC meetings, 6th to 8th-grade teachers will unpack Unit 2 standards. 6 th to 8th-grade teachers will identify and implement evidence-based strategies that align with Unit 2 standards. Walkthroughs will be conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of Unit 2 strategies. The Local/Title Coaches/Professional Learning Coordinator will meet with individual teachers to provide feedback and inform them of the next steps.	unit. Evaluation Tool(s): Common Formative Assessment Walkthrough Data Results Evaluation Plan: Students will be assessed: Every 2 weeks Monthly Every other month 3 times per year Weekly Data Analysis Plan: Common assessment data meetings Common assessment audit report CCC meeting notes Lesson Plans Walkthrough data results Person(s) Collecting Evidence: Principal Assistant Principals Academic Coaches/ Instructional Support Specialists CCC Leads	My Perspective Resources Resources in One Team for Teachers
Action Step	January-March: A fort are a fort and a fort are a fort a fort are a fort are a fort are a fort a fort are a fort a fort are a fort a fort a fort are a fort a fort a fort are a fort		
•	Unit 3 (3 rd Nine Weeks)		
SWP Checklist 2.a, 2.b, 2.c(i), 2.c(ii), 2.c(ii), 2.c(iv),2.c(v)	During CCC meetings, 6-8 teachers will unpack		

- 1. All teachers in the 6th 8th grades will implement
 a weekly evidence-based
 strategy to support
 reading comprehension
 throughout each unit.
- Unit 3 standards
- 6-8 Teachers will identify and implement evidence-based strategies that align with Unit 3 standards.
- Walkthroughs will be conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of Unit 3 strategies.
- Local/Title Coaches/Professional Learning Coordinator will meet with individual teachers to provide feedback to inform them of the next steps.
- March-April:

Unit 4 (4th Nine Weeks)

- During CCC meetings, 6-8 teachers will unpack
 Unit 4 standards
- 6-8 Teachers will identify and implement evidence-based strategies that align with Unit 4 standards.
- Walkthroughs will be conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of Unit 4 strategies.
- The Local/Title Coaches/Professional Learning Coordinator will meet with individual teachers to provide feedback and inform them of the next steps.
- May:

Teachers, local coaches, Title I coaches, and District Coaches will evaluate the effectiveness of reading comprehension strategies.

Artifacts to be Collected:

- Grade-level writing rubrics
- Common writing prompts
- Collaborative scoring protocols
- Collaborative scoring schedule

Root Cause(s) to be	Person(s) Monitoring Implementation: ☑ Principal ☑ Assistant Principals ☑ Academic Coaches/ Instructional Support Specialists Frequency of Monitoring: Throughout each nine weeks (bi-weekly) Students often struggle with analyzing, comparing, and dra	wing conclusions from texts.	
Addressed:			
Funding Source(s) SWP Checklist 5.e	☐ Title I Funds ☐ Local School Funds ☐ (Other:	
Components	Implementation Plan SWP Checklist 3.a 34 CFR § 200.26	Evaluation Plan SWP Checklist 3.b 34 CFR § 200.26	Resources
Who? One Action (Verb) What? Frequency	Implementation Performance Target: 100% of 6-8 ELA teachers will implement writing assessments with a rubric by the 1 st nine weeks. Implementation Plan:	Evaluation Performance Target: 538/820 (65%) students will score proficient or higher on the common formative assessment for each unit.	CTLS Resources from the District State Rubrics
Target Student Group	Preplanning: The local AC ELA department chair and ELA admin, will	Evaluation Tool(s): • Common Formative Assessment	Curriculum Resources
⊠ Gen Ed ⊠ EL ⊠ SWD	 The local AC, ELA department chair, and ELA admin. will meet with Title 1 ELA coaches to set up training on creating common writing prompts for writing assessments and grading state rubrics. August-September: 	 Common Formative Assessment Walkthrough Data Results Evaluation Plan: Students will be assessed: 	Writing Samples
Action Step SWP Checklist 2.a, 2.b, 2.c(i), 2.c(ii), 2.c(iv), 2.c(v)	1st Nine Weeks The district will provide foundational training for	☐ Every 2 weeks ☐ Monthly	

		teachers on creating writing assessment for the	☐ Every other month	
2.	All ELA teachers will	1 st nine weeks for Unit 1.	☐ 3 times per year	
	assess student writing	 The Title 1 Coaches will provide moderate 		
	using common prompts	support.		
	based on state-provided	 Teachers will create writing assessments for the 		
	resources for each grade-	1 ST nine weeks during CCC meetings.	Data Analysis Plan:	
	level course, every nine	 Teachers will grade writing assessments using the 	Writing Assessments	
	weeks.	state rubric/confer with students.	Writing Assessment Data Results	
		 Teachers will develop intervention and extension 		
		opportunities based on the data results from the		
		state rubric score.	Person(s) Collecting Evidence:	
		Teachers will identify common writing trends,	☑ Principal	
		select strategies to build student writing capacity,	Assistant Principals Assistant Principals	
		and administer another writing prompt &	Academic Coaches/ Instructional Support Specialists	
		collaboratively score.	☑ CCC Leads	
		 The District and Title 1 coaches will participate in walkthroughs as needed. 		
		waiktiilougiis as fleeded.		
		October-December:		
		2 nd Nine Weeks		
		 2nd Nine Weeks The district will provide foundational training for 		
		 The district will provide foundational training for teachers on creating writing assessments for the 		
		The district will provide foundational training for		
		 The district will provide foundational training for teachers on creating writing assessments for the 		
		 The district will provide foundational training for teachers on creating writing assessments for the 2nd nine weeks for Unit 2. The Title 1 Coaches will provide moderate support. 		
		 The district will provide foundational training for teachers on creating writing assessments for the 2nd nine weeks for Unit 2. The Title 1 Coaches will provide moderate support. Teachers will create writing assessments for the 		
		 The district will provide foundational training for teachers on creating writing assessments for the 2nd nine weeks for Unit 2. The Title 1 Coaches will provide moderate support. Teachers will create writing assessments for the 3rd nine weeks during CCC meetings. 		
		 The district will provide foundational training for teachers on creating writing assessments for the 2nd nine weeks for Unit 2. The Title 1 Coaches will provide moderate support. Teachers will create writing assessments for the 3rd nine weeks during CCC meetings. Teachers will grade writing assessments using the 		
		 The district will provide foundational training for teachers on creating writing assessments for the 2nd nine weeks for Unit 2. The Title 1 Coaches will provide moderate support. Teachers will create writing assessments for the 3rd nine weeks during CCC meetings. Teachers will grade writing assessments using the state rubric/confer with students. 		
		 The district will provide foundational training for teachers on creating writing assessments for the 2nd nine weeks for Unit 2. The Title 1 Coaches will provide moderate support. Teachers will create writing assessments for the 3rd nine weeks during CCC meetings. Teachers will grade writing assessments using the state rubric/confer with students. Teachers will develop intervention and extension 		
		 The district will provide foundational training for teachers on creating writing assessments for the 2nd nine weeks for Unit 2. The Title 1 Coaches will provide moderate support. Teachers will create writing assessments for the 3rd nine weeks during CCC meetings. Teachers will grade writing assessments using the state rubric/confer with students. Teachers will develop intervention and extension opportunities based on data results from the 		
		 The district will provide foundational training for teachers on creating writing assessments for the 2nd nine weeks for Unit 2. The Title 1 Coaches will provide moderate support. Teachers will create writing assessments for the 3rd nine weeks during CCC meetings. Teachers will grade writing assessments using the state rubric/confer with students. Teachers will develop intervention and extension opportunities based on data results from the state rubric score. 		
		 The district will provide foundational training for teachers on creating writing assessments for the 2nd nine weeks for Unit 2. The Title 1 Coaches will provide moderate support. Teachers will create writing assessments for the 3rd nine weeks during CCC meetings. Teachers will grade writing assessments using the state rubric/confer with students. Teachers will develop intervention and extension opportunities based on data results from the state rubric score. Identify common writing trends, select strategies 		
		 The district will provide foundational training for teachers on creating writing assessments for the 2nd nine weeks for Unit 2. The Title 1 Coaches will provide moderate support. Teachers will create writing assessments for the 3rd nine weeks during CCC meetings. Teachers will grade writing assessments using the state rubric/confer with students. Teachers will develop intervention and extension opportunities based on data results from the state rubric score. Identify common writing trends, select strategies to build student writing capacity, and administer 		
		 The district will provide foundational training for teachers on creating writing assessments for the 2nd nine weeks for Unit 2. The Title 1 Coaches will provide moderate support. Teachers will create writing assessments for the 3rd nine weeks during CCC meetings. Teachers will grade writing assessments using the state rubric/confer with students. Teachers will develop intervention and extension opportunities based on data results from the state rubric score. Identify common writing trends, select strategies to build student writing capacity, and administer another writing prompt & collaboratively score. 		
		 The district will provide foundational training for teachers on creating writing assessments for the 2nd nine weeks for Unit 2. The Title 1 Coaches will provide moderate support. Teachers will create writing assessments for the 3rd nine weeks during CCC meetings. Teachers will grade writing assessments using the state rubric/confer with students. Teachers will develop intervention and extension opportunities based on data results from the state rubric score. Identify common writing trends, select strategies to build student writing capacity, and administer 		

January-March:

3rd Nine Weeks

- The district will provide foundational training for teachers on creating writing assessments for the 2nd nine weeks for Unit 2.
- The Title 1 Coaches will provide moderate support.
- Teachers will create writing assessments for the 3rd nine weeks during CCC meetings.
- Teachers will grade writing assessments using the state rubric/confer with students.
- Teachers will develop intervention and extension opportunities based on data results from the state rubric score.
- Identify common writing trends, select strategies to build student writing capacity, and administer another writing prompt & collaboratively score.
- The District and Title 1 coaches will participate in walkthroughs as needed.

April-May:

4th Nine Weeks

- The district will provide foundational training for teachers on creating writing assessments for the 4th nine weeks for Unit 4.
- The Title 1 Coaches will provide moderate support.
- Teachers will grade writing assessments using the state rubric/confer with students.
- Teachers will develop intervention and extension opportunities based on the data results from the state rubric score.
- May:

Teachers will analyze school-year data on writing performance outcomes to identify the next steps.

Artifacts to be Collected:

- PL Sign-In Sheets
- Lesson Plans
- CCC Meeting Forms
- Data Meeting Forms
- Rubric Score Results
- Writing Assessments

Person(s) Monitoring Implementation:

- ☑ Principal
- ☑ Academic Coaches/ Instructional Support Specialists

Frequency of Monitoring:

Every Nine Weeks

Root Cause(s) to be Addressed:			
Funding Source(s) SWP Checklist 5.e	☐ Title I Funds ☐ Local School Funds ☐ C	Other:	
Components	Implementation Plan SWP Checklist 3.a 34 CFR § 200.26	Evaluation Plan SWP Checklist 3.b 34 CFR § 200.26	Resources
Who? One Action (Verb) What?	Implementation Performance Target:	Evaluation Performance Target:	
Frequency	Implementation Plan: • Preplanning:	Evaluation Tool(s): •	
Target Student Group	August-September:		
☐ Gen Ed ☐ EL ☐ SWD	October-December:January-February:	Evaluation Plan: Students will be assessed: □ Every 2 weeks	
Action Step SWP Checklist 2.a, 2.b, 2.c(i), 2.c(ii), 2.c(iv),2.c(v)	March-April:	☐ Monthly ☐ Every other month ☐ 3 times per year ☐	
3. (Insert action step here)	May: Artifacts to be Collected:	Data Analysis Plan:	
	Person(s) Monitoring Implementation: ☐ Principal ☐ Assistant Principals ☐ Academic Coaches/ Instructional Support Specialists Frequency of Monitoring:	Person(s) Collecting Evidence: ☐ Principal ☐ Assistant Principals ☐ Academic Coaches/ Instructional Support Specialists ☐ CCC Leads	

Root Cause(s) to be Addressed:			
Funding Source(s) SWP Checklist 5.e	☐ Title I Funds ☐ Local School Funds ☐ C	ther:	
Components	Implementation Plan SWP Checklist 3.a 34 CFR § 200.26	Evaluation Plan SWP Checklist 3.b 34 CFR § 200.26	Resources
Who? One Action (Verb) What?	Implementation Performance Target:	Evaluation Performance Target:	
Frequency	Implementation Plan:	Evaluation Tool(s):	
Target Student Group	Preplanning:	•	
☐ Gen Ed ☐ EL ☐ SWD	August-September:October-December:	Evaluation Plan: Students will be assessed: □ Every 2 weeks	
Action Step SWP Checklist 2.a, 2.b, 2.c(i), 2.c(ii), 2.c(iv),2.c(v)	January-February:	☐ Monthly ☐ Every other month	
	March-April:	☐ 3 times per year ☐	
4. (Insert action step here)	May:		
	Artifacts to be Collected:	Data Analysis Plan:	
	Person(s) Monitoring Implementation: ☐ Principal ☐ Assistant Principals ☐ Academic Coaches/ Instructional Support Specialists Frequency of Monitoring:	Person(s) Collecting Evidence: ☐ Principal ☐ Assistant Principals ☐ Academic Coaches/ Instructional Support Specialists ☐ CCC Leads	

MATH DATA						
MATH Milestones Longitudinal Data	SY22 % of students scoring proficient & distinguished	SY23 % of students scoring proficient & distinguished	SY24 % of students scoring proficient & distinguished	SY25 % of students scoring proficient & distinguished		
6 th Grade			15.9%	22.1%		
7 th Grade	14.3%	23.8%	22.3%	20.4%		
8 th Grade	19%	21.1%	38.4%	41.6%		

Beacon Math Data –	Numerical Reasoning				=	Measurement & Data Reasoning		Geometric & Spatial Reasoning				
Spring Administration	Support Needed	Near Target	Prepared	Support Needed	Near Target	Prepared	Support Needed	Near Target	Prepared	Support Needed	Near Target	Prepared
6 th Grade	65	30	5	54	36	10	51	38	11	58	37	5
7 th Grade	58	35	7	53	36	11	55	36	9	56	35	9
8 th Grade	50	36	14	43	41	16	53	33	14	61	33	6

Source	Strengths	Weaknesses
SY24 MATH Milestones	Grade Levels (all students):	Grade Levels (all students):
(Data by grade & subgroup)	From SY 2022 to 2025, the 6 th Grade trend of proficient	From SY 23 to SY 25, 7th-grade proficiency and
	and distinguished students increased from 15.9% to	distinction decreased each year. In 2023-2024, the rates decreased by 0.5% and 1.3% in proficient and
	22.1%. (+6.2%)	distinguished ways, respectively.
	The 8 th graders showed a 1-year trend increase of 4.2% in proficient and distinguished levels.	 From SY 23 to SY 25, 6th and 7th-grade students proficient and distinguished showed that only 20% to

• The data also suggests that 7th graders last year are this year 8th graders based on the data dig. The 1-year trend increased by 20%.

EL: 7th grade EL students showed improvement in levels 2-4 on the

EOG assessment with a 1-year trend increase of 5%.

SWD: 8th grade SWD students showed improved in levels 2-4 on the EOG assessment with a 1-year trend increase of over 5%.

23% of the students scored at those levels.

EL: 6th grade EL students showed little growth in levels 2-4 on the EOG assessment. They showed weakness in number sense and algebraic expression.

SWD: 7th grade EL students showed little growth in levels 2-4 on the EOG assessment. They showed weakness in number sense.

Beacon Assessment – MATH (Grade Level & Subgroups)

Strengths 6-8 (all students)

Based on the BEACON results in math, our 8th-grade students improved from Fall 2024 to Spring 2025 in the Patterning and Algebraic domains.

8th Grade Fall 2024

Support Needed 76% Near Target 21% Prepared 3%

Spring 2025

Support Needed 43% Near Target 41% Prepared 16%

- The data results revealed that students in 8th grade showed improvement from fall 2024 to spring 2025 in the domains of patterning and algebraic.
- Several students moved from needing support to being near the target and prepared.

EL and SWD The data results revealed that EL and SWD students showed improvement from winter 2024 to spring 2025 in geometric and spatial reasonings.

Weaknesses

Numerical Reasoning Fall 2024

6th

Support Needed 79% Near Target 21%

Prepared

Spring 2025

Support Needed 65% Near Target 30% Prepared 5% **7**th

Fall 2024

Support Needed 72% Near Target 26% Prepared 2%

Spring 2025

Support Needed 58% Near Target 35% Prepared 7%

> Even though the students showed growth from fall 2024 to spring 2025, over 50% (Students) of the students still need support in the numerical reasoning domain in 6th and 7th grades.

	Patterning and Algebraic Reasoning
	6 th Grade
	Fall 2024
	Support Needed 79%
	Near Target 21%
	Spring 2025
	Support Needed 53%
	Near Target 36%
	Prepared 11%
	7 th Grade
	Fall 2024
	Support Needed 72%
	Near Target 25%
	Prepared 3%
	Spring 2025
	Support Needed 53%
	Near Target 36%
	Prepared 11%
	Based on the data results from fall 2024 to spring 2025,
	over 50% of the students in grades 6 th -8 th need support
	in the domain of patterning and algebraic reasoning.
	Geometric and Spatial Reasoning
	8 th Grade
	Fall 2024
	Support Needed 71%
	Near Target 24%
	Prepared 5%
	Spring 2025
	Support Needed 61%
	Near Target 33%
	Prepared 6%
	Over 50% of the students in 8 th grade data revealed that
	fall 2024 to spring 2025 needed support in geometric
	and spatial reasoning.
Check the system that	Root Cause Explanation:
contributes to the root cause:	Students are missing key prerequisite skills.
	Limited representations of mathematical concepts and procedures.
☑ Coherent Instruction	
	Students struggle to interpret math vocabulary due to their low reading ability. True 5 Title 1 of the latest and the la
Lindley Middle School	FY26 Title I School Improvement Plan

☑ Professional Capacity Instructional strategies are not consistent with teaching math standards among teachers at each grade level. ☐ Effective Leadership Professional Development is needed on how to use and incorporate manipulatives into instruction. ☐ Supportive Learning Environment **Grade Levels (all students): Grade Levels (all students): MATH Common Assessments** Weaknesses Strengths 7th Grade 6th Grade **Unit 1**-71% Unit 1 62% Statistics Expressions/Inequalities Unit 2 -65% Number System Unit 2-67% Reasoning with Fluency Expressions, Equations, and **Unit 3-66%** Inequalities Rate, Ratio, and Proportion Unit 3-73% Exploring Ratios and Unit 4-69% Understanding of **Proportional Relative** Expression Unit 4-71%Geometry **Unit 5**-62% Unit 5 -71%Probability Based on the 7th-grade data, the teacher administered a common assessment posttest; students demonstrated strengths in connecting abstract concepts to concrete ones, performing 71% to this year. 73% in Units 1, 3, 4, and 5. 8th Grade inequalities. **Unit 1**-77% Expressions/Equations/Inequalities Unit 2-64% Modeling Linear Relationships/Functions **Unit 3** Data/Statistical Reasoning 7th Grade Unit 4-80% Systems of Linear Equations Unit 5 - 75% Irrational Numbers, Integer Exponents, Scientific Notation Unit 6-70% Exploring Geometric equations. Relationships

One Step Equation/Inequalities

- The 6th-grade students did not score above the average of 70% on any of the teacher's post-test assessments
- Students struggled with interpreting math vocabulary and converting real-world situations into equations and
- Break up word problems by translating expressions and equations to determine solutions. Identifying key information to determine solutions.
- Unit 2: 67% of the students passed the assessment.
- Students struggled to build their algebraic reasoning skills to rewrite expressions and work with multistep

Based on the teacher-made posttest common assessments, the

- students demonstrated an average of 70%-80% on units 1, 4, 5, and 6.
- Students demonstrated strengths in unit 4 with an 80% average on the posttest common assessment. The students were able to master 80% of the building skills from previous units, which helped them solve and graph equations.

EL: Students showed strength exploring ratios in 7th grade.

SWD: Students showed strength in geometric relationships.

- Based on the results from our school, we developed common summative assessments in Mathematics.
- Seventh-grade students face a challenge in building numerical reasoning skills through positive and negative number operations and then extend that learning to explain real-world phenomena.

8th Grade Level (all students):

 Based on the data results from the teacher-made posttest, the students struggled with Unit 2, with an average score of 64%. Students need to be able to model the linear relationships of functions (More modeling with manipulatives

EL: Students struggled to understand what the word problem is asking them to do because they are emerging bilinguals/English learners.

SWD: Students struggled with understanding the problem because they had difficulty with reading and reading comprehension.

Check the system that contributes to the root cause:	Root Cause Explanation: • Students struggled with mastering new skills and procedures.	especially if they hadn't developed a strong foundation in previous
 ☑ Coherent Instruction ☑ Professional Capacity ☐ Effective Leadership ☐ Supportive Learning Environment 	grades. • More modeling for students with concrete manipulatives for Development)	
School Instructional Walks (Grade Level)	Teachers implemented a district-balanced mathematical instructional framework.	 Instructional walks data results indicated that 6th grade teachers promoted procedural skills more vs conceptual understanding. (Understanding the math) Instructional walks data results indicated that 7th grade teachers focused more on conceptual mathematics with 4 out of 6 teachers implementing manipulatives in the lessons.
Check the system that	Root Cause Explanation:	
contributes to the root cause: ☐ Coherent Instruction ☐ Professional Capacity ☐ Effective Leadership	 Limited professional learning on procedural skills vs conc 100% of the teachers did not use manipulatives to model 	

☐ Supportive Learning Environment		
Other Summary Data ☐ Teacher Survey ☐ Parent Survey ☐ Professional Learning Survey ☐		
Check the system that contributes to the root cause: Coherent Instruction Professional Capacity Effective Leadership Supportive Learning Environment	Root Cause Explanation:	

MATH - IMPROVEMENT PLAN						
GOAL #2: MATH	By the end of the 2025-2026 school year, 6th-8th grade students will increase their levels 2-4 scores from 67% (572 Students) to 70% (600 Students), as measured by the Mathematics Georgia Milestones End-of-Grade Assessment. 6th grade students will increase levels 2-4 on the Georgia Milestones End of Grade Assessment by the end of the 2025-2026 school year. (Waiting on 5th-grade EOG data results) 7th grade students will increase levels 2-4 from 57.9% (178 students) to 60% (185 students) on the Georgia Milestones End of Grade Assessment by the end of the 2025-2026 school year. (6th graders to 7th graders) 8th grade students will increase levels 2-4 from 65.4% (168 students) to 68% (187 students) on the Georgia Milestones End of Grade Assessment by the end of the 2025-2026 school year. (7th graders to 8th graders)					
Root Cause(s) to be Addressed:	Students need to understand what the problem is asking them to do (Conceptual Understanding). Students need to be able to model mathematics problems.					
Funding Source(s) SWP Checklist 5.e	☐ Title I Funds ☐ Local School Funds ☐ Other:					
Components	Implementation Plan SWP Checklist 3.a 34 CFR § 200.26	Evaluation Plan SWP Checklist 3.b 34 CFR § 200.26	Resources			
Who?	Implementation Performance Target:	Evaluation Performance Target:	CTLS Resources			
One Action (Verb) What? Frequency	By December 2025, 100% of 6th-to 8th-grade teachers will have implemented CRA strategies in their classrooms.	538 out of 820 (65%) students will score proficient or higher on the common formative assessment rubric.	Vocabulary Strategies			
Target Student Group	Implementation Plan:	Evaluation Tool(s):				
⊠ Gen Ed ⊠ EL ⊠ SWD	Preplanning: The local academic coach will provide training to math teachers on applying CRA in the classroom.	Common assessmentsWalkthrough forms	Lesson plans for each and resources			
Action Step SWP Checklist 2.a, 2.b, 2.c(i), 2.c(ii), 2.c(iv), 2.c(v)	•August-October:	Evaluation Plan: Students will be assessed:	CRA strategies			

1. All 6th -8th grade teachers will implement the CRAmath strategy, which is an instructional framework designed to enhance students' understanding of mathematical concepts through three stages:

Concrete: Students use hands-on materials to explore math concepts.

Representational: Students create visual representations, such as drawings or models, to illustrate their understanding of the material.

Abstract: Students work with symbols and numbers to solve problems and express mathematical ideas.

1st Nine Weeks

- Teachers will collaborate on the first nine weeks' units to create lessons incorporating CRA strategies.
- Teachers will identify CRA strategies for the first nine weeks of units to model in the classroom.
- Teachers will meet weekly to discuss the success of CRA strategies during CCC meetings.
- Walkthroughs will be conducted to monitor CRA implementation with feedback.

November - December:

2nd Nine Weeks

- Teachers will collaborate on the 2nd nine weeks' units to create lessons with CRA strategies
- Teachers will identify CRA strategies for the 2nd nine-week unit to model in their classrooms.
- Teachers will meet weekly to discuss the success of CRA strategies during CCC meetings.
- Walkthroughs will be conducted to monitor the implementation of CRA and gather feedback.
- January-March:

3rd Nine Weeks

- Teachers will collaborate on the 3rd nine-week units to create lessons with CRA strategies.
- Teachers will identify CRA strategies for the 3rd nine-week units to model in classrooms.
- Teachers will meet weekly to discuss the success of CRA strategies during CCC meetings.
- Walkthroughs will be conducted to monitor the implementation of CRA and gather feedback.
- March-April:

4th Nine Weeks

- Teachers will collaborate on the 4th nine-week units to create lessons incorporating CRA strategies.
- Teachers will identify CRA strategies for the 4th nineweek units to model in their classrooms.

☐ Every 2 weeks
☐ Monthly
☐ Every other month
☐ 3 times per year
⊠Weekly

Data Analysis Plan:

- Common assessment data meetings
- Common assessment audit report
- CCC meeting notes
- Lesson Plans

Walkthrough data results

Person(s) Collecting Evidence:

П	Prin	cir	าล
ш	FIII	ıcı	Ja

- ☐ Assistant Principals
- ☑ Academic Coaches/ Instructional Support Specialists
- □ CCC Leads

- Teachers will meet weekly to discuss the success of CRA strategies during CCC meetings.
- Walkthroughs will be conducted to monitor CRA implementation and feedback
 - May:

Teachers will review and discuss the effectiveness of the strategies based on the data results.

Artifacts to be Collected:

- Lesson Plans
- CCC notes
- PL Sign-in Sheets
- Student's Notebooks

Person(s) Monitoring Implementation:

- ☑ Principal
- ☑ Academic Coaches/ Instructional Support Specialists

Frequency of Monitoring:

Weekly

Root Cause(s) to be Addressed:	 Limited professional learning on procedural skills vs conceptual understanding. 100% of the teachers did not use manipulatives to model mathematics. 		
Funding Source(s) SWP Checklist 5.e	☐ I Title I Funds ☐ Local School Funds	☐ Other:	
Components	Implementation Plan SWP Checklist 3.a 34 CFR § 200.26	Evaluation Plan SWP Checklist 3.b 34 CFR § 200.26	Resources
Who? One Action (Verb) What? Frequency	Implementation Performance Target: 100% of 6-8 grade mathematics teachers will effectively implement mathematics strategies based on professional development sessions.	Evaluation Performance Target: By December 2025, 100% of mathematics teachers would have implemented at least three strategies learned in professional development sessions.	CTLS Resources from the District
Target Student Group	Implementation Plan: • Preplanning:	Evaluation Tool(s): • Common Assessments	Vocabulary Strategies
☑ Gen Ed☑ EL☑ SWD	The district coach, local coach, and lead teacher will provide professional learning (PL) throughout the year to model CRA strategies. (Using manipulatives) July-May 2026	Walkthrough FormsData Forms Evaluation Plan:	Lesson Plans for each unit
Action Step SWP Checklist 2.a, 2.b, 2.c(i), 2.c(ii), 2.c(iv),2.c(v)	 Professional Learning Sessions The academic coach will request District Coaches to set up meetings to discuss PL 	Students will be assessed: ☐ Every 2 weeks ☐ Monthly	CRA strategies
2. All teachers in grades 6 th -8 th will participate in professional Learning sessions throughout the year on implementing and modeling CRA strategies.	sessions for each nine weeks. The coaches will begin sessions in July during pre-planning. August 2025-May 2026 Teachers will begin implementing strategies learned from the PL sessions. Dec. 2025 – 100% of teachers would have implemented at least three strategies learned from a PL session.	□ Every other month □ 3 times per year □Weekly Data Analysis Plan: Weekly Walkthrough Data Results CCC notes review	
Lindlay Middle School	 August-October: 1st Nine Weeks Lead teacher, local academic coach, or district math coach will model 1st nine weeks CRA strategies. A video will be created by a local academic coach with CRA strategies for the 1st nine 	Person(s) Collecting Evidence: ☑ Principal ☑ Assistant Principals ☑ Academic Coaches/ Instructional Support Specialists ☑ CCC Leads	

- weeks of modeling.
- Local and district coaches will conduct walkthroughs and provide feedback to ensure effectiveness.
- October-December:

2nd Nine Weeks

- The lead teacher, local academic coach, or district math coach will model the 2nd nine weeks of lessons and CRA strategies.
- A video will be created by a local academic coach with CRA strategies for the 2nd nine weeks of lessons modeling.
- Local and district coaches will conduct walkthroughs and debriefings to ensure effectiveness.
- January-March:

3rd Nine Weeks

- The lead teacher, local academic coach, or district math coach will model 3rd nine-week CRA strategies.
- A local academic coach will create a video with CRA strategies for the 3rd nine weeks, modeling the approach.
- Local and district coaches will conduct walkthroughs/feedback for effectiveness
- April-May:

4th Nine Weeks

- Lead teacher, local academic coach, or district math coach will model the 4th nine weeks of CRA strategies.
- The local school academic coach will create a video using CRA strategies for the 4th nine weeks, featuring modeling.
- Local and district coaches will conduct walkthroughs and provide feedback to ensure

effectiveness.

May: Teachers will review and discuss the effectiveness of the strategies based on the data results.

Artifacts to be Collected:

- PL Sign-in Sheets
- PL Handouts
- PL PowerPoints
- Videos of CRA strategies for each of the nine weeks

Person(s) Monitoring Implementation:

- ☑ Principal
- ☐ Academic Coaches/ Instructional Support Specialists

Frequency of Monitoring:

Monthly

Root Cause(s) to be Addressed:			
Funding Source(s) SWP Checklist 5.e	☐ I Title I Funds ☐ Local School Funds	☐ Other:	
Components	Implementation Plan SWP Checklist 3.a 34 CFR § 200.26	Evaluation Plan SWP Checklist 3.b 34 CFR § 200.26	Resources
Who? One Action (Verb) What?	Implementation Performance Target:	Evaluation Performance Target:	
Frequency	Implementation Plan: • Preplanning:	Evaluation Tool(s): •	
Target Student Group	August-September:		
⊠ Gen Ed ⊠ EL	October-December:	Evaluation Plan: Students will be assessed: □ Every 2 weeks	
⊠ SWD	January-February:	□ Monthly	
Action Step SWP Checklist 2.a, 2.b, 2.c(i), 2.c(ii), 2.c(iv), 2.c(v)	March-April:	☐ Every other month ☐ 3 times per year ☐	

3. (Insert action step here)	May: Artifacts to be Collected:	Data Analysis Plan:	
	Person(s) Monitoring Implementation: ☐ Principal ☐ Assistant Principals ☐ Academic Coaches/ Instructional Support Specialists Frequency of Monitoring:	Person(s) Collecting Evidence: □ Principal □ Assistant Principals □ Academic Coaches/ Instructional Support Specialists □ CCC Leads	

Root Cause(s) to be Addressed:			
Funding Source(s) SWP Checklist 5.e	☐ Title I Funds ☐ Local School Fu	nds Other:	
Components	Implementation Plan SWP Checklist 3.a 34 CFR § 200.26	Evaluation Plan SWP Checklist 3.b 34 CFR § 200.26	Resources
Who? One Action (Verb) What?	Implementation Performance Target:	Evaluation Performance Target:	
Frequency	Implementation Plan: • Preplanning:	Evaluation Tool(s): •	
Target Student Group	August-September:		
☐ Gen Ed ☐ EL ☐ SWD	October-December:January-February:	Evaluation Plan: Students will be assessed: □ Every 2 weeks □ Monthly	
Action Step SWP Checklist 2.a, 2.b, 2.c(i), 2.c(ii), 2.c(iv),2.c(v)	March-April:	☐ Every other month ☐ 3 times per year ☐	
4. (Insert action step here)	May: Artifacts to be Collected:	Data Analysis Plan:	
	Person(s) Monitoring Implementation: ☐ Principal ☐ Assistant Principals ☐ Academic Coaches/ Instructional Support Specialists Frequency of Monitoring:	Person(s) Collecting Evidence: ☐ Principal ☐ Assistant Principals ☐ Academic Coaches/ Instructional Support Specialists ☐ CCC Leads	

SCIENCE DATA			
Source	Strengths	Weaknesses	
Source SY25 (Post Test) (Data by grade & subgroup)	6th Grade Unit Post Test Assessments Unit 1- 72% Solar System Unit 2- 70% Earth, Moon, Sun Unit 4- 74% Climate and Weather • Based on the data, students were able to develop a model for units 1 and 2 to ask and answer questions to understand the Solar System, Earth, Moon, and Sun. • Use different models to represent systems such as the solar system and the sun/moon/earth system 7th Grade Unit Post Test Assessments Unit 2-83% Human Body Systems Unit 3-80% Genetics Unit 6-86% Classification • Based on the data, students showed strengths in life science units. Students demonstrate proficiency in human body systems, genetics, and classification by scoring over 80% or above. 8th Grade Post-Unit Assessments Unit 1- 71% Matter Unit 2-72% Energy Unit 3-75% Electricity and Magnetism Unit 5-78% Forces Physical and Chemical Changes-78% Based on the data, students demonstrated strengths in physical	Weaknesses 6 th Grade Unit Post Test Assessments Unit 3- 57% Climate and Weathers Unit 5- 69% Dynamic Earth Unit 6- 65% Rocks and Minerals • Based on the data, students showed weaknesses in these three units. Students average below 70% in these units compared to the other units. 7 th Grade Unit Post Test Assessments Unit 1-71% Cell Structure and Functions Unit 5-79% Interdependence • Based on the data, students showed weaknesses in the above units. Students average below 80% compared to the other units. 8 th Grade Post-Unit Assessments Unit 4-61% Waves Heat Transfer-69% Unit 6-68% Mechanical vs Electromagnetic Fields • Based on the data, students showed weaknesses in waves, heat transfer, and mechanical vs electromagnetic scoring below the 60% average.	
	science units, including matter, energy, electricity and magnetism, forces, and physical and chemical changes, scoring an average of 70% or above.		

Check the system that contributes to the root cause: ☐ Coherent Instruction ☐ Professional Capacity ☐ Effective Leadership ☐ Supportive Learning Environment	Root Cause Explanation: Limited mastery of critical thinking skills Limited ability to interpret and analyze data Limited PL on exploring and engaging students in science Difficulty connecting structure and function, and a tende Prior knowledge gaps Limited hands-on activities	activities ncy towards rote memorization instead of understanding
(Name of assessment) (Grade Level Reading & Writing)		
Check the system that contributes to the root cause: ☐ Coherent Instruction ☐ Professional Capacity ☐ Effective Leadership ☐ Supportive Learning Environment	Root Cause Explanation:	
(Name of assessment) (Grade Level Reading & Writing)		

Check the system that	Root Cause Explanation:	
contributes to the root cause:	noor cause Expranation	
contributes to the root cause.		
☐ Coherent Instruction		
☐ Professional Capacity		
☐ Effective Leadership		
☐ Supportive Learning Environment		
3dpportive Learning Livilonment		
School Instructional Walks	Data revealed that 85% of the observed classrooms	Instructional walk data indicated that 4 out of 8
6 th -8 th Grade	demonstrated consistent implementation of	classrooms did not provide students with hands-on
6"-8" Grade	·	activities to enhance the learning target.
	learning targets aligned to the standard.	detivities to enhance the learning target.
		 Instructional walk data indicated that 50% of the
		teachers observed provide 3D Science Learning.
Check the system that	Root Cause Explanation:	teachers observed provide 3D Science Learning.
contributes to the root cause:	•	s to support 3D science learning, PhET simulation, and the use of
contributes to the root cause:	crosscutting concepts	s to support 3D science learning, File i simulation, and the use of
☐ Coherent Instruction	Students struggle with reading science comprehension and	the ability to interpret and analyze data
☐ Professional Capacity	Students struggle with reading science comprehension and	the ability to interpret and analyze data.
☐ Effective Leadership		
☐ Supportive Learning Environment		
apportive Learning Environment		
Other Summary Data		
☐ Teacher Survey		
☐ Parent Survey		
☐ Professional Learning Survey		
Professional Learning Survey		
□		
Check the system that	Root Cause Explanation:	
contributes to the root cause:		
☐ Coherent Instruction		
☐ Professional Capacity		
- Fibressional Capacity		

☐ Effective Leadership	
☐ Supportive Learning Environment	

SCIENCE IMPROVEMENT PLAN			
GOAL #3: Science	By the end of the 2025-2026 school year, 8th-grade students will increase their levels 2 - 4 scores from 66.6% to 70% as measured by the Science Georgia Milestones Assessment. 6th- and 7th-grade students will increase by 5%, 6 th grade from 67% to 70%, and 7 th grade from 79% to 82%, based on summative assessment scores.		
Root Cause(s) to be Addressed:	 Difficulty connecting structure and function, and a t Limited PL on exploring and engaging students in sc 	endency towards rote memorization instead of understa ence activities	anding
Funding Source(s) SWP Checklist 5.e	☐ Title I Funds ☐ Local School Funds ☐ Other:		
Components	Implementation Plan SWP Checklist 3.a 34 CFR § 200.26	Evaluation Plan SWP Checklist 3.b 34 CFR § 200.26	Resources
Who? One Action (Verb) What? Frequency	Implementation Performance Target: By the end of May 2026, 100% of teachers will have implemented 3D science evidence-based strategies in their lessons.	Evaluation Performance Target: 60% of the students will score proficient or higher on the common formative assessments' rubric. Evaluation Tool(s):	
Target Student Group	Implementation Plan: Preplanning:	Common Formative Assessments	
☑ Gen Ed☑ EL☑ SWD	Teachers will unpack the Unit 1 standards and complete the common assessment audit based on LEI training. • August-September: 1st Nine Weeks	Evaluation Plan: Students will be assessed: □ Every 2 weeks □ Monthly	
Action Step SWP Checklist 2.a, 2.b, 2.c(i), 2.c(ii), 2.c(iv),2.c(v)	 Teachers will collaborate to create lessons in CCC meetings, incorporating strategies and discussing data for the first nine weeks. 	☐ Every other month ☐ 3 times per year	

1. All 6th- to 8th-grade teachers will implement 3D Science instruction daily using a discovery-based approach and identified evidence-based strategies.

- Implement strategies in lessons for the 1st nine weeks units.
- Teachers will analyze strategies during data meetings for the 1st nine weeks of units.
- Walkthroughs will be conducted to monitor implementation
- October-December:

2nd Nine Weeks

- Teachers will collaborate to create lessons in CCC meetings during the 2nd nine weeks to include strategies
- Implement strategies in lessons for the 2nd nine weeks.
- Teachers will analyze strategies during data meetings for the 2nd nine weeks.
- Walkthroughs will be conducted to monitor implementation
- January-March:

3rd Nine Weeks

- Teachers will collaborate to create lessons in CCC meetings during 3rd nine weeks to include strategies
- Implement strategies in lessons for the 3rd nine weeks
- Teachers will analyze strategies during data meetings in the 3rd nine weeks
- Walkthroughs will be conducted to monitor implementation
- April-May:

4th Nine Weeks

- Teachers will collaborate to create lessons in CCC meetings to include 4th nine-week strategies
- Implement CER strategies in lessons for 5th nine weeks
- Teachers will analyze strategies during data meetings for the 4th nine weeks

⊠Weekly	
Data Analysis Plan:	
 CCC meetings analyzing data for the effectiveness of 3D Learning. 	
Data meeting to group students based on data (Data meetings).	
Person(s) Collecting Evidence:	
☐ Principal ☐ Assistant Principals	
✓ Academic Coaches/ Instructional Support	
Specialists ☑ CCC Leads	

• Walkthroughs will be conducted to monitor implementation May: Evaluate data for the effectiveness of implementing 3-D Learning. **Artifacts to be Collected:** PL sign-in sheets • CCC meeting documents Lesson Plans Data meetings **Person(s) Monitoring Implementation:** □ Principal ☑ Academic Coaches/ Instructional Support Specialists **Frequency of Monitoring:** Weekly

Root Cause(s) to be Addressed:	Lack of professional learning for teachers integrating Al concepts.	I tools to support 3D science learning, PhET simulation, and the	use of crosscutting
Funding Source(s) SWP Checklist 5.e	☐ Local School Funds	□ Other:	
Components	Implementation Plan SWP Checklist 3.a 34 CFR § 200.26	Evaluation Plan SWP Checklist 3.b 34 CFR § 200.26	Resources
Who? One Action (Verb) What? Frequency	Implementation Performance Target: By the end of May 2026, 100% of teachers will effectively implement science strategies based on professional learning sessions.	Evaluation Performance Target: By December 2025, 100% of science teachers would have implemented at least 2-3 strategies learned in professional learning sessions.	
Target Student Group ☑ Gen Ed	Implementation Plan: July 2025 -May 2026- Ongoing PL	 Evaluation Tool(s): Walkthrough data results Common assessments data results 	
⊠ EL ⊠ SWD	 Preplanning- July 2025 The academic coach will create a professional learning (PL) calendar for the year to schedule sessions with 	Evaluation Plan: Students will be assessed:	
Action Step SWP Checklist 2.a, 2.b, 2.c(i), 2.c(ii), 2.c(iv),2.c(v)	district coaches.August- October	☐ Every 2 weeks ☐ Monthly ☑ Every other month	

2. All science teachers will	1 st Nine weeks	☐ 3 times per year	
participate in monthly ongoing			
science professional learning	Professional development will be provided		ļ
sessions on science teaching	to teachers on a monthly basis for the first		
strategies to implement based on	nine weeks of lessons.	Data Analysis Plan:	
results from walkthroughs and		Create lesson plans with PL strategies from the PL	
assessments.	Teachers will meet weekly to create lessons	monthly	ļ
	to implement strategies learned at PL for the	CCC meeting notes	ļ
	1st nine weeks of classes.	Monthly walkthroughs with district coaches	ļ
		Common assessment data results	
	The district and local coaches will conduct		ļ
	walkthroughs and provide feedback to		ļ
	gather data points on the effectiveness of	Person(s) Collecting Evidence:	ļ
	the PL for the first nine weeks.	☑ Principal	ļ
			ļ
	October-December	☐ Academic Coaches/ Instructional Support Specialists	ļ
	October-December	□ CCC Leads	ļ
	Professional development will be provided		
	to teachers monthly for the second nine		ļ
	weeks.		ļ
	Teachers will meet weekly to create lessons		
	that implement strategies learned at PL for		ļ
	the second nine weeks of classes.		
	 October-December 		ļ
	2 nd Nine Weeks		ļ
	 Professional development will be provided 		ļ
	to teachers monthly for the second nine		ļ
	weeks.		
	Taraham will mast week hat an it is		
	Teachers will meet weekly to create lessons that implement strategies learned at III for		
	that implement strategies learned at PL for the second nine weeks of classes.		
	the second fille weeks of classes.		
	The district and local coaches will perform		
	walkthroughs and provide feedback to		

gather data points for the effectiveness of the PL for the 2nd nine weeks.

• January-March

3rd Nine Weeks

- Professional development will be provided to teachers every month for the 3rd nine weeks.
- Teachers will meet weekly to create lessons to implement strategies learned at PL for the 3rd nine weeks of classes.
- The district and local coaches will perform walkthroughs and provide feedback to gather data points for the effectiveness of the PL for the 3rd nine weeks.

April-May

- Professional development will be provided to teachers monthly for 4th nine weeks
- Teachers will meet weekly to create lessons to implement strategies they learned at PL for the 4th nine weeks of lessons.
- The district and local coaches will perform walkthroughs and provide feedback to gather data points for the effectiveness of the PL for the 4th nine weeks.

Artifacts to be Collected:

- PL sign-in sheets
- CCC meeting documents
- Lesson Plans
- Data meetings

Person(s) Monitoring Implementation:

☑ Principal

 ☒ Assistant Principals ☒ Academic Coaches/ Instructional Support Specialists Frequency of Monitoring: Monthly 	

Root Cause(s) to be Addressed:			
Funding Source(s) SWP Checklist 5.e	☐ Title I Funds ☐ Local School Funds	□ Other:	
Components	Implementation Plan SWP Checklist 3.a 34 CFR § 200.26	Evaluation Plan SWP Checklist 3.b 34 CFR § 200.26	Resources
Who? One Action (Verb) What?	Implementation Performance Target:	Evaluation Performance Target:	
Frequency	Implementation Plan: • Preplanning:	Evaluation Tool(s): •	
Target Student Group	August-September:		
☐ Gen Ed ☐ EL ☐ SWD	October-December:January-February:	Evaluation Plan: Students will be assessed: □ Every 2 weeks □ Monthly	
Action Step SWP Checklist 2.a, 2.b, 2.c(i), 2.c(ii), 2.c(iv),2.c(v)	March-April: May:	☐ Every other month ☐ 3 times per year ☐	
3. (Insert action step here)	Artifacts to be Collected:	Data Analysis Plan:	
	Person(s) Monitoring Implementation: ☐ Principal ☐ Assistant Principals ☐ Academic Coaches/ Instructional Support Specialists Frequency of Monitoring:	Person(s) Collecting Evidence: ☐ Principal ☐ Assistant Principals ☐ Academic Coaches/ Instructional Support Specialists ☐ CCC Leads	

SOCIAL STUDIES DATA					
Source	Strengths Weaknesses				
SY25 Common Assessment Post Test	6th Grade Unit 3 History of Europe 71% 7th Grade Unit 1 Geography of SW Asia 81% Unit 2 History of SW of Asia 78% Unit 3 Gov. of SW Asia 83% Unit 4 Econ. of SW Asia 84% 8th Grade Geography 71% Government 73% Modern Ga 83% Students demonstrated growth in their understanding of geography, history, government, and economics in Southwest Asia. 7th-grade students scored over 80% on those standards.	Oth Grade Unit 2 Geography of Europe 69% Unit 4 Government of Europe 61% Unit 8 64% Latin America Geography Unit 9 60% Geography of Latin America Unit 10 51% Government of Latin America 8th Grade Georgia's Beginning 65% Statehood 65% Adult Juvenile/Justice System 70% 6th-grade students performed below 70% in mastering the description of Europe and Latin America's geography and government. 8th-grade students performed below 70% on understanding the beginning of Georgia's juvenile justice system.			
Check the system that contributes to the root cause: ☑ Coherent Instruction ☐ Professional Capacity ☐ Effective Leadership ☐ Supportive Learning Environment	Root Cause Explanation: Students do not have sufficient opportunities to engage Students do not have sufficient opportunities to engage Teachers lack knowledge of instructional strategies to so for various student groups.				

(Name of assessment) (Grade Level Reading & Writing)		
Check the system that contributes to the root cause: Coherent Instruction Professional Capacity Effective Leadership Supportive Learning Environment	Root Cause Explanation:	
(Name of assessment) (Grade Level Reading & Writing)		
Check the system that contributes to the root cause: Coherent Instruction Professional Capacity Effective Leadership Supportive Learning Environment	Root Cause Explanation:	
School Instructional Walks (Grade Level)	Teachers used district-provided instructional resources.	 Instructional walk data indicated fewer engagement activities for the students. In 6th- and 7th-grade classrooms, there are more engagement activities than in 8th grade.

		 All grade levels show limited differentiation during two of the walks, with 45% of the classrooms indicating differentiation.
Check the system that	Root Cause Explanation:	
contributes to the root cause:		
 □ Coherent Instruction □ Professional Capacity □ Effective Leadership □ Supportive Learning Environment 		
Other Summary Data		
☐ Teacher Survey		
☐ Parent Survey		
☐ Professional Learning Survey		
Ll		
Check the system that	Root Cause Explanation:	
contributes to the root cause:		
☐ Coherent Instruction		
☐ Professional Capacity		
☐ Effective Leadership		
☐ Supportive Learning Environment		

SOCIAL STUDIES IMPROVEMENT PLAN				
GOAL #4: Social Studies	By the end of the 2025-2026 school year, 8th-grade students will increase their levels 2 - 4 scores from 70.5% to 73.5 % as measured by the Social Studies Georgia Milestones Assessment. 6th- and 7th-grade students will increase by 5%, 6 th grade from 62% to 65%, and 7 th grade from 81% to 84%, based on summative assessment scores.			
Root Cause(s) to be Addressed:	 Students do not have sufficient opportunities to en Students do not have sufficient opportunities to en The data show that 6th and 7th-grade teachers nee 	gage in content literacy skills in the learning experienc	e.	
Funding Source(s) SWP Checklist 5.e	☐ Title I Funds ☐ Local School Funds ☐ Other:			
Components	Implementation Plan SWP Checklist 3.a 34 CFR § 200.26	. Desources		
Who? One Action (Verb) What? Frequency Target Student Group	Implementation Performance Target: By August 2025, 100% of teachers will have implemented the action plan. Implementation Plan: • Preplanning:	Evaluation Performance Target: By December 2025 100% of social studies teachers will implement at least 2-3 strategies learned in professional development sessions	 Informational Text Strategies Vocabulary Strategies 	
☐ Gen Ed ☐ EL ☐ SWD	All Social Studies teachers will collaborate with ELA teachers on their teams to develop effective reading strategies for informational texts.	 Evaluation Tool(s): Common Assessments Data Results Walkthrough Data Results 	• Discovery Education	
Action Step SWP Checklist 2.a, 2.b, 2.c(i), 2.c(ii), 2.c(iv),2.c(v)	August-September: 1st Nine Weeks	Evaluation Plan: Students will be assessed: ☑ Every 2 weeks ☐ Monthly	Cobb County units' resources	

1. All teachers in grades 6-8 will implement a weekly evidence-based strategy to incorporate content literacy strategies using informational texts.

Literacy Strategies Using Informational Text

- Reading Comprehension Strategies
- Vocabulary Acquisition and Development Strategies
- Using Web-Based Tools
- Incorporating Non-Traditional Texts
- Building Contextual Knowledge

- During CCC meetings 6-8 teachers will unpack 1st nine weeks of standards. District Coach will support 6th and 7th grade.
- 6-8 Teachers will identify and implement evidence-based strategies that align to 1st nine weeks standards.
- Walkthroughs will be conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of the 1st nine weeks of strategies.
- Dr. Jones/Wendy Torres/Dr. Moore will meet with individual teachers to provide feedback and inform next steps after the walk-throughs have taken place, utilizing the coaching cycle for teachers who need additional support.
- October-December:

2nd Nine Weeks

- During CCC meetings, 6-8 teachers will unpack the 2nd nine weeks standards
- 6-8 Teachers will identify and implement evidence-based strategies that align with the 2nd nine weeks standards.
- Walkthroughs will be conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of the 2nd nine weeks' standards strategies.
- Dr. Jones/Wendy Torres/Dr. Moore will meet with individual teachers to provide feedback to inform next steps
- January-March

3rd Nine Weeks

- During CCC meetings, 6-8 teachers will unpack the 3rd nine weeks standards
- 6-8 teachers will identify and implement evidencebased strategies that align with 3rd nine weeks standards.
- Walkthroughs will be conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of 3rd nine weeks standards strategies.

☐ Every other month	
☐ 3 times per year	

Data Analysis Plan:

CCC meetings analyzing data for the effectiveness of strategy implementation.

Person(s) Collecting Evidence:

- ☑ Principal
- ☐ Academic Coaches/ Instructional Support Specialists
- □ CCC Leads

•	PBS
	Learning

	District and school leaders will meet with		
	individual teachers to provide feedback to inform		
	next steps		
	• April-May		
	4 th Nine Weeks		
	During CCC meetings, 6-8 teachers will unpack the		
	4 th nine-week standards.		
	6-8 Teachers will identify and implement		
	evidence-based strategies that align with the 4 th		
	nine weeks standards.		
	Walkthroughs will be conducted to evaluate the		
	effectiveness of the 4th nine weeks strategies.		
	District and school leaders will meet with		
	individual teachers to provide feedback to inform		
	them of next steps.		
	May: Teachers will analyze school-year data on		
	effectiveness of strategies.		
	Artifacts to be Collected:		
	CCC meetings documents		
	Unpacking the standards worksheets		
	Lesson Plans		
	Person(s) Monitoring Implementation:		
	☑ Principal		
	□ Assistant Principals		
	☐ Academic Coaches/ Instructional Support Specialists		
	Frequency of Monitoring:		
	Weekly		
Root Cause(s) to be	 Limited PL on inquiry-based approach for engagement in Social Studies (SS) classrooms. 		
Addressed:	Lack of ongoing professional learning on differentiation for Social Studies teachers and students.		
	 Limited PL on inquiry-based approach for engagement in Social Studies classrooms. 		
Funding Source(s)	☑ Title I Funds ☐ Local School Funds ☐ Other:		
SWP Checklist 5.e			

Components	Implementation Plan SWP Checklist 3.a 34 CFR § 200.26	Evaluation Plan SWP Checklist 3.b 34 CFR § 200.26	Resources
Who? One Action (Verb) What? Frequency Target Student Group	Implementation Performance Target: By November 2025, 100% of 6-8 social studies teachers will have implemented evidence-based strategies. Implementation Plan: • Preplanning:	Evaluation Performance Target: 2025, 100% of social studies teachers will implement at least 2-3 strategies learned in professional learning sessions. Evaluation Tool(s): Common Assessments Walkthrough Forms Data Results	
☐ Gen Ed ☐ EL ☐ SWD	The academic coach will create a professional learning (PL) calendar for the year to schedule sessions with district leaders. August- October	Evaluation Plan: Students will be assessed: □ Every 2 weeks □ Monthly □ Every other month	
Action Step SWP Checklist 2.a, 2.b, 2.c(i), 2.c(ii), 2.c(iv), 2.c(iv), 2.c(v) 2. All social studies teachers will participate in monthly professional development focused on identified evidence-based strategies based on walkthroughs and assessment data.	 Professional development will be provided to teachers on effective engagement strategies (8th Grade), Teacher Clarity (6th and 7th Grade), monthly for 1st nine weeks Teachers will meet weekly to create lessons that implement strategies learned at PL for the first nine weeks of classes. The district and local coaches will perform walkthroughs and provide feedback to gather data points for the effectiveness of the PL for the 1st nine weeks of lessons. November-December Continued Professional development on Effective Engagement strategies and Teacher Clarity will be provided to teachers monthly for the 2nd nine weeks standards. 	□ 3 times per year □ Data Analysis Plan: • Create lesson plans with PL strategies from PL monthly. • CCC meetings notes • Monthly walkthroughs with district coaches Person(s) Collecting Evidence: □ Principal □ Assistant Principals □ Academic Coaches/ Instructional Support Specialists □ CCC Leads	
	 Teachers will meet weekly to create lessons that implement strategies learned at PL for the second nine weeks of lessons. 		

 The district and local coaches will perform walkthroughs and feedback to gather data points for the effectiveness of the PL for the 2nd nine weeks of lessons.

January- March

- Professional development will be provided to teachers monthly for the 3rd nine weeks of lessons.
- Teachers will meet weekly to create lessons to implement strategies they learned at PL for the 3rd nine weeks of classes.
- The district and local coaches will perform walkthroughs and provide feedback to gather data points for the effectiveness of the PL for 3rd nine weeks lessons.
- April-May

4th Nine Weeks

- Professional development will be provided to teachers monthly for the 4th nine weeks of lessons.
- Teachers will meet weekly to create lessons to implement strategies they learned at PL for the 4th nine weeks of lessons, The district and local coaches will perform walkthroughs and feedback to gather data points for the effectiveness of the PL for the 4th nine weeks lessons.

Artifacts to be Collected:

- Professional Learning Sign in Sheets
- Lesson Plans
- Walkthrough Forms Results

Person(s) Monitoring Implementation: ☑ Principal ☑ Assistant Principals ☑ Academic Coaches/ Instructional Support Specialists	
Frequency of Monitoring: Weekly	

Root Cause(s) to be Addressed:			
Funding Source(s) SWP Checklist 5.e	☐ Title I Funds ☐ Local School Funds ☐	□ Other:	
Components	Implementation Plan SWP Checklist 3.a 34 CFR § 200.26	Evaluation Plan SWP Checklist 3.b 34 CFR § 200.26	Resources
Who? One Action (Verb) What?	Implementation Performance Target:	Evaluation Performance Target:	
Frequency	Implementation Plan:Preplanning:	Evaluation Tool(s): •	
Target Student Group	August-September:		
☐ Gen Ed ☐ EL ☐ SWD	October-December:January-February:	Evaluation Plan: Students will be assessed: □ Every 2 weeks □ Monthly	
Action Step SWP Checklist 2.a, 2.b, 2.c(i), 2.c(ii), 2.c(iv), 2.c(v)	March-April: May:	☐ Every other month ☐ 3 times per year ☐	
3. (Insert action step here)	Artifacts to be Collected:	Data Analysis Plan:	
	Person(s) Monitoring Implementation: ☐ Principal ☐ Assistant Principals ☐ Academic Coaches/ Instructional Support Specialists Frequency of Monitoring:	Person(s) Collecting Evidence: ☐ Principal ☐ Assistant Principals ☐ Academic Coaches/ Instructional Support Specialists ☐ CCC Leads	

Family Engagement Plan to Support School Improvement (Required Components)					
Family Engagement Activities (Must be listed in the school policy)	Date(s) Scheduled	Date Completed	"Shall" Standard(s) Addressed		
1. Required Annual Title I Meeting – Deadline Parents will learn about Title I, how our school spends Title funds (budget snapshot), highlights of the schoolwide plan, description of curriculum and assessments used, our school compacts and policies, professional qualifications of our teachers, and opportunities for family engagement including use of the family resource center.	9/17/2025		⊠ 1 □ 2 □ 3	□ 4 □ 5 □ 6	
2. Required Fall Input Survey/ Evaluation (secondary method) — Deadline Parents will have the opportunity to assist in planning future family engagement activities, revising our school policy and compact, and considering how to spend our family engagement funds.	10/29/2025		□ 1 □ 2 □ 3	□ 4 □ 5 ⊠ 6	
3. Required Spring Input Meeting and Survey (primary method) – Deadline Parents will have the opportunity to assist in planning future family engagement activities, revising our school policy and compact, and considering how to spend our family engagement funds.	4/29/2026		□ 1 □ 2 □ 3	□ 4 □ 5 ⊠ 6	
A Provide d TMO Publisher Connection Connection (Provide Inc.)	9/19/2025				
4. Required TWO Building Capacity Opportunities (Do not need to be listed in the Policy) Teachers will continue to learn about the value and utility of contributions of parents including how to			□ 1 □ 2	□ 4 □ 5	
the parents and school	th, and work with parents to implement parent programs and build ties between 4/23/2026				
5. Required Transition Activities for parents of students entering or exiting our school (Multiple options, not just visit the school) Parents will have an opportunity to learn about the next grade level in their child's education. Briefly describe the transition activities here:	4/23/26		□ 1 □ 2 □ 3	⊠ 4 □ 5 □ 6	

6. Required: Provide information related to school and parent/programs meetings in a format and	List documents translated for parents:	□ 1	□ 4
language parents can understand. SWP Checklist 5.d	 Parent-School Compact 	□ 2	⊠ 5
	Parent Policy	□ 3	□ 6

School Developed Family Engagement Activities (Required for "Shall's" 2 and 6)							
School Developed Family Engagement Activities (Must be listed in the school policy)	"Shall" Addressed	Goal(s) Addressed	Resources	Funding Source(s) SWP Checklist 5.e	Date	How is the activity monitored, and evaluated? Include data/artifacts to be collected as evidence.	Team Lead
Curriculum Night	□ 1 ⋈ 2 □ 3 □ 4 □ 5 ⋈ 6	☑ Goal 1☑ Goal 2☐ Goal 3☑ Goal 4	Instructional learning and reading materials, as well as supplies, for students and parents.	Title I	Oct. 21, 2025	HandoutsParent/Student FeedbackForm Sign-In Sheets	Department Chairs
Parent University	□ 1 ⋈ 2 □ 3 □ 4 □ 5 ⋈ 6	☑ Goal 1☑ Goal 2☐ Goal 3☑ Goal 4	Instructional learning and reading materials, as well as supplies, for students and parents.	Title I	Nov. 12, 2025	 Handouts Sign-In Sheets	Dr. Jones Dr. Harrison
Data Workshop Sessions	□ 1 ⋈ 2 □ 3 □ 4 □ 5 ⋈ 6	⊠ Goal 1 ⊠ Goal 2 □ Goal 3 ⊠ Goal 4	Data Folders	Title I	Feb. 11, 2026 xxx	HandoutsSign-In Sheets	Dr. Jones

GaDOE required six "Shall's". Each shall must be addressed at least once during the school year:

- 1. Assist parents in understanding state academic standards, state and local assessments, and how to monitor their child's academic progress.
- 2. Provide materials and training to help parents work with their child to improve academic achievement. (Ex. Literacy training, technology training)
- 3. Educate school staff in the value and utility of the contributions of parents, and how to reach, communicate with, and partner with parents to implement parent programs to build ties between parents and the school.
- 4. Coordinate and integrate parent programs and activities with other Federal, State, and local programs (Preschool to Kindergarten, transitions, parent resource centers, etc.) to support parents in more fully participating in their child's education.
- 5. Ensure information related to school and parent programs/meetings are sent in a format and language parents can understand.
- 6. Provide other reasonable support for parental involvement activities as parents may request. These are school developed activities based upon parent input. (#14 in list of "shalls" and "mays")

School Improvement Plan Required Questions

Schoolwide Plan Development – Section 1114(2)(B) (i-iv)

- 1. Cobb County's schoolwide plans are developed during a 1-year period; unless the school is operating a schoolwide program on the day before the date of the enactment of Every Student Succeeds Act, in which case such school may continue to operate such program but shall develop amendments to its existing plan during the first year of assistance after that date to reflect the provisions of the section. **Evidence to support this statement includes:**The dated schoolwide plans, dated budget meeting agendas and signature pages, and dated committee and input meeting signature pages. SWP Checklist 5(a)
- During the 2025-2026 school year, Lindley Middle will complete the dated schoolwide plans, budget meeting agendas and signature pages, and committee and input meeting signature pages.
- 2. Cobb County's schoolwide plans are developed with the involvement of parents and other members of the community to be served and individuals who will carry out such plan, including teachers, principals, other school leaders, paraprofessionals present in the school, administrators (including administrators of programs described in other parts of this title), the local educational agency, to the extent feasible, tribes and tribal organizations present in the community, and, if appropriate specialized instructional support personnel, technical assistance providers, school staff, if the plan relates to a secondary school, students, and other individuals determined by the school. **Evidence to support this statement includes: The schoolwide plan committee signature page and the Family Engagement fall and spring input meetings**. *Schoolwide Checklist 5(b)*
- During the school year 2025-2026, Lindley Middle School will complete the schoolwide plans the Every Title I school post the Title I plan, Title I budget, and Family Engagement Components on the school's website and in multiple languages for evidence to support that the schoolwide plans are available to the local education agency, parents, and the public, and the information contained in the plan will be in an understandable and uniform format, providing in a language that the parents can understand.

- 3. Cobb County's schoolwide plans remain in effect for the duration of the school's participation under Sec. 114(b)(1-5) of ESSA, except that the plan and its implementation shall be regularly monitored and revised as necessary based on student needs to ensure that all students are provided opportunities to meet the challenging State academic standards. Evidence to support this statement includes: The Title I midyear and end of year monitoring of SWP goals, monitoring and approving all Title I expenditures, and revision dates listed on the SWP cover page. SWP Checklist 5(c)
- During the school year 2025-2026, Lindley Middle School will complete the schoolwide plans the Title 1 midyear and end of year monitoring of SWP goals, monitoring and approving all Title 1 expenditures, and revision dates listed on the SWP cover page for evidence to support regular monitor and revise of the plan as necessary based on student needs to ensure that all students are provided opportunities to meet the challenging State academic standards.
- 4. Cobb County's schoolwide plans are available to the local education agency, parents, and the public, and the information contained in such plan shall be in an understandable and uniform format and, to the extent practicable, provided in a language that the parents can understand. Evidence to support this statement includes: Every Title I school post the Title I plan, Title I budget, and Family Engagement Components on the school's website and in multiple languages. SWP Checklist 5(d)
- During the school year 2025-2026, Lindley Middle School will complete the schoolwide plans the Every Title I school post the Title I plan, Title I budget, and Family Engagement Components on the school's website and in multiple languages for evidence to support that the schoolwide plans are available to the local education agency, parents, and the public, and the information contained in the plan will be in an understandable and uniform format, providing in a language that the parents can understand.
- 5. Describe how the schoolwide plan has been developed in coordination and integration with other Federal, State and local services, resources, and programs, such as programs supported under this Act, violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start programs, adult education programs, career and technical education programs, and schools implementing comprehensive support and improvement activities or targeted support and improvement activities under section 1111 (d), if appropriate and applicable. SWP Checklist 5(e) Include district initiatives that are supported with Title I Funds (For example: Early Literacy Framework (ELF), Math Fluency Initiative (MFI), LETRS, Read 180, etc.)

 SCHOOL RESPONSE:
 - Lindley Middle school offer a variety of options for students at different academic levels, including co-taught, small-group, and sheltered ESOL and Special Education classes. A triangulation of data is used to correctly identify students' deficits and schedule their classes and lessons according to their needs. Georgia Milestones results, the Scholastic Reading Inventory, Scholastic Math Inventory, District Interim Assessments, Common Teacher Assessments, ACCESS scores, IOWA, and CoGat are just a few of the data sources that are used to determine students' placement. To further support all students in mastering performance standards and demonstrating proficiency in all subject contents, we have a variety of web-based software programs such as Progress Learning (A program customized to specific state standards in math, reading, writing, science, and social studies with interactive features and games that engage students and reinforce and reward learning achievement), IXL (a web-based program that targets MATH for all grades K-12 with problems from word problems to interactive graphing), Delta Math, Gimkit (quiz-based learning tool that gamifies the way learning works to make teaching more engaging)
 - The school maintains contact with District support personnel who specialize in providing instructional support to teachers regarding evidence-based best practices for implementing effective instruction during daily lessons. Professional school counselors and social workers provide students and parents with external and internal resources for families experiencing hardships. Title I funds are used to provide after-school and Saturday tutoring for students.

ESSA Requirements to Include in the Schoolwide Plan – *Section 1116(B)(1)*

- 6. Jointly develop with, and distribute to, parents and family members of participating children a written parental and family engagement involvement policy, agreed on by such parents, that shall describe the means for carrying out the requirements of Subsections (c) through (f). Parents shall be notified of the policy in an understandable and uniform format and, to the extent practicable, provided in a language the parents can understand. Such policy shall be made available to the local community and updated periodically to meet the changing needs of parents and the school. Evidence to support this statement includes Posting every Title I school's parent policy on the school's website in multiple languages where practicable, Fall and Spring input meeting agendas and sign in sheets providing parents the opportunity to assist in the development of the school's parent policy, compact and parent engagement budget.

 SWP Checklist 4
 - During the school year 2025-2026 Lindley Middle School will complete the schoolwide plan to include evidence to support the posting every Title 1 school's parent policy on the school website in multiple languages where practicable, Fall and Spring input meeting agendas and sign-in sheets providing parents the opportunity to assist in the development of the school's parent policy, compact and parent engagement budget for evidence to support jointly develop with and distribute to parents and family members of participating children a written parental and family engagement involvement policy agreed on by parents. The policy will be made available to the local community and updated periodically to meet the changing needs of parents and the school.

Evaluation of the Schoolwide Plan - 34 CFR § 200.26

7. Describe how the school regularly monitors and the implementation of, and results achieved by, the schoolwide program, using data from the State's annual assessments and other indicators of academic achievement. SWP Checklist 3(a)

SCHOOL RESPONSE:

- The administrative team (Principal, assistant principals, and academic coach) will meet with teachers weekly within departments to review common formative assessments utilizing the common assessment audit form and focus on specific standards to increase student proficiency.
- Data teams will also meet weekly to assess student work and review lesson plans, ensuring that the standards are being taught with fidelity, instruction is regularly adjusted to meet students' current needs, and student learning is assessed daily through both informal and formal walkthroughs.
- 8. Describe how the school determines whether the schoolwide program has been effective in increasing the achievement of students in meeting the challenging State academic standards, particularly for those students who had been farther from achieving the standards. SWP Checklist 3(b)

SCHOOL RESPONSE:

• The school determines whether the schoolwide program has effectively increased student achievement in meeting the challenging State academic standards by analyzing various data sources (Beacon Assessment, District Interim Assessments, Common Formative Assessments). The school aggregates multiple data sources throughout the year, tracks students' performance during interim cycles, and determines whether SIP goals established at the beginning of the year have been met.

Instructional pivots are made throughout the year to increase desired outcomes and support students who are experiencing challenges in reaching proficiency levels in reading and/or mathematics.

9. Describe how the schoolwide plan will be revised, as necessary, based on regular monitoring to ensure continuous improvement of students in the schoolwide program. SWP Checklist 3(c)

SCHOOL RESPONSE:

• The schoolwide plan will be revised during the school year. Interim checkpoints are conducted after each administration of the Beacon Assessments. Administrators, staff, and parents/community members discussed students' progress during the Title I Fall and Spring Forums.

Schoolwide Plan Reform Strategies – *Section 1114(b)(7)(A)(i-iii)(I-V)*

- 10. Address the reform strategies the school will implement to meet the school needs, including a description of how such strategies will: Provide opportunities for all children, including all subgroups defined in section 1111 (c)(2), to meet the State's challenging academic standards. Evidence to support this statement includes: Specific schoolwide plan action steps, the method for monitoring and evaluating those action steps and the schoolwide plan student groups page specifically identifying supports to assist various student groups in meeting the State's challenging academic standards, where applicable. SWP Checklist 2(a)
 - Lindley Middle School instructional strategies are designed to improve student achievement for all subgroups. For example: Conceptual, Abstract, Representations Strategy for Mathematics, CER, Anchor Charts, Capsule Summary, Weekly Writing Across the Curriculum., 3-Read Protocol, 5Es, Frayer Model, Annotated, Vocabulary Strategies, etc. The action steps will be monitored by weekly walkthroughs/feedback, data team meetings, CCCs meetings, and scope and sequence checks with teachers twice during the quarter to determine students' progress toward proficiency. The action steps will be evaluated by the effectiveness of the data.
- 11. Address the reform strategies the school will implement to meet the school needs, including a description of how such strategies will: use methods and instructional strategies that strengthen an academic program in the school, will increase the amount and quality of learning time, and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum, which may include programs, activities, and courses necessary to provide a well-rounded education. **Evidence to support this statement includes: Specific schoolwide plan action steps, the method for monitoring and evaluating those action steps, where applicable.** *SWP Checklist 2(b)*
 - Lindley Middle School instructional strategies are designed to improve student achievement. Several programs will be implemented to increase the amount and quality of learning time, thereby providing an enriched and accelerated curriculum. Programs include Accelerated Math and ELA, Gifted, Algebra I, 7/8 Math, and Physical Science for Advanced Science students. After-school and or Saturday school intervention programs will be offered to support students. An additional period will be added to the schedule to address the diverse needs of all students at Lindley Middle School. (Literacy). The action steps will be monitored by ongoing walkthroughs/CCC meetings, data team meetings, and scope and sequence checks with teachers bi-weekly to determine students' progress toward proficiency. The effectiveness of the action steps will be determined by the evaluation by the data.

- 12. Address the reform strategies the school will implement to meet the school needs, including a description of how such strategies will: address the needs of all children in the school, but particularly the needs of those at risk of not meeting the challenging State academic standards through activities which may include counseling, school-based mental health programs, specialized instructional support services and other strategies to improve students' skills outside the academic subject areas. Evidence to support this statement includes: Specific schoolwide plan action steps, the method for monitoring and evaluating those action steps, where applicable. SWP Checklist 2(c)(i)
 - The school maintains contact with District support personnel who specialize in serving neglected, delinquent, foster, and homeless students to address their unique needs. The professional school counselors and school social workers provide students and parents with external and internal resources for families experiencing hardships. Title I funds are utilized to provide tutoring for students after school and on Saturdays.
 - The programs will be monitored by conducting scope and sequence checks with teachers and students to determine students' progress. The action steps will be evaluated by the effectiveness of the programs through data.
- 13. Describe the implementation of your schoolwide tiered model to prevent and address problem behavior and early intervening services, coordinated with similar activities and services carried out under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (20 U.S.C. 1400 et seq.). SWP Checklist 2.c(iii)
 - Lindley Middle School actively practices Positive Behavior Interventions and Support (PBIS). PBIS is an evidence-based, three-tiered framework for improving and integrating systems and practices that impact student outcomes daily. These techniques allow us to focus on the positives of student behavior with open praise and acknowledgment of desired behaviors. The 2025-2026 school year will be year six (6) for PBIS Implementation. To reinforce expectations, provide an overview of the schoolwide intervention, and provide support to students with self-awareness and self-regulation, PBIS Coaches were hired during the 2024-2025 school year. The PBIS Coach solicited assistance from staff members to serve on the PBIS Team. The team meets biweekly to discuss student referrals and teacher implementation, as well as plan student celebrations to recognize learners who adhere to our school's behavior guidelines, Falcon Five.
 - Check and Connect and Check-In/Check-Out will continue during the years 2025-2026. Students who have been identified (based on discipline referrals and counselor referrals) will be assigned a staff member who will conduct weekly check-ins to support them in reaching their academic and behavioral goals.

SCHOOL RESPONSE:

14. <u>Describe professional development</u> and other activities for teachers, paraprofessionals, and other school personnel to improve instruction and use of data from academic assessments, and to recruit and retain effective teachers, particularly in high need subjects. *SWP Checklist 2.c(iv)*

SCHOOL RESPONSE:

• Lindley Middle School will provide ongoing learning opportunities for all staff through professional development aligned to the needs of assessment data. In August, the Academic Coach will administer a needs assessment to all teachers. The academic coaches or administrators will organize or conduct professional development at school, through faculty meetings, professional development days on Wednesday, or smaller department presentations. Additionally, teachers, paraprofessionals, and other school personnel will be required to participate in district professional development throughout the year, as is offered. The staff will also have opportunities to attend local, state, and national professional development conferences and professional growth workshops.

- The administrative team and Academic Coach will meet with teachers within departments on a weekly basis to review common formative assessments and focus on specific standards to increase student proficiency.
- Data teams will also meet weekly to assess student work and review lesson plans, ensuring that the standards are being taught with fidelity, instruction is regularly adjusted to meet students' current needs, and student learning is assessed daily through both informal and formal walkthroughs.
- Cobb County School District strives to hire the best-qualified candidate for all teaching positions. The CCSD Strategic Waiver allows our school the opportunity to hire the best applicant; however, we strive to employ Georgia-certified teachers whenever possible. Cobb Collaborative Community (CCC) Teacher Meetings ensure teachers work collaboratively to plan, analyze data, and adjust instruction to meet the needs of all students. Furthermore, the Cobb County School District recruits' prospective teachers by attending various colleges and universities, as well as campus job fairs. CCSD hosts an annual fair. Once hired, teachers new to CCSD and new to the teaching profession are registered and participate in the CCSD New Teacher Institute. This institute takes place before the start of the new school year. Early release and professional development days are provided throughout the school year for all teachers.
- At Lindley Middle School, we offer new teacher orientation before the start of each new school year and an ongoing new teacher induction program that includes pairing with mentor teachers. Teachers new to Lindley and new to teaching (less than 3 years of experience) will be required to participate in the mentoring program. We will set aside specific times for mentors to meet with their teachers for planning purposes. We will receive curriculum support from local and district-level coaches. Finally, we will provide regular professional development to address areas of weakness in all content areas and offer tips to maintain effective classroom management and high levels of student engagement.
- · Recruitment- Partner with local universities and colleges each semester to identify highly qualified candidates for potential positions
- Retain –Ensure participation in the mentor program

15. **ONLY MIDDLE AND ELEMENTARY SCHOOL RESPONSE REQUIRED** Describe the transition activities provided for preschool children to kindergarten, 5^{th} grade students to 6^{th} grade and 8^{th} grade students to 9^{th} grade. *SWP Checklist 2.c(v)*

SCHOOL RESPONSE:

- Lindley Middle School is building and strengthening its articulation process with Pebblebrook High School, which includes ensuring strong vertical alignment among teachers, Counselors, and Administrators. This ensures that students, parents, and teachers understand the academic programs, social programs, criteria, and prerequisites available to progress to each grade level. Programs created to facilitate effective transitions from middle school to high school include 8th Grade Night, implemented by Pebblebrook, where students can visit and gain a firsthand glimpse of academics, clubs, and organizations. Additionally, feeder schools for 5th-grade students attending Lindley the following year can visit with their teachers during the day, and a 6th-grade transition evening is scheduled for parents and students in the spring.
- The Cobb County School District offers six magnet programs, each with a concentrated focus on specific areas, including Performing Arts, Science, Mathematics, Business and Technology, International Studies, Research and Medical Science, and the International Baccalaureate Program. Coordinators from the various magnet

programs speak with the 8th-grade students and inform them of the requirements for entrance and acceptance into them. Ongoing district support, provided by Lindley's 8th-grade counselor, assists students with obtaining the necessary documents, recommendations, and support throughout the application process.

16. **ONLY HIGH SCHOOL RESPONSE REQUIRED** Describe how the school prepares and makes aware of opportunities for postsecondary education and the workforce, which may include career and technical education programs and broadening secondary school students' access to coursework to earn postsecondary credit while still in high school (such as Advanced Placement, International Baccalaureate, dual or concurrent enrollment, or early college high schools. *SWP Checklist 2.c(ii)*

SCHOOL RESPONSE: N/A

Comprehensive Needs Assessment – Section 1114(b)(1)(A)

- 17. Cobb County's schoolwide plans are based on a comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school, that considers information on the academic achievement of children in relation to the challenging State academic standards, particularly the needs of those children who are failing, or are at-risk of failing, to meet the State academic standards and any other factors as determined by the local educational agency. **Evidence to support this statement includes: The comprehensive needs assessment section of the schoolwide plan.** *SWP Checklist 1*
 - Lindley Middle School is building and strengthening its articulation process with Pebblebrook High School, which includes ensuring strong vertical alignment among teachers, Counselors, and Administrators. This ensures that students, parents, and teachers understand the academic programs, social programs, criteria, and prerequisites available to progress to each grade level. Programs created to facilitate effective transitions from middle school to high school include 8th Grade Night, implemented by Pebblebrook, where students can visit and gain a firsthand glimpse of academics, clubs, and organizations. Additionally, feeder schools for 5th-grade students attending Lindley the following year can visit with their teachers during the day, and a 6th-grade transition evening is scheduled for parents and students in the spring.
 - The Cobb County School District offers six magnet programs, each with a concentrated focus on specific areas, including Performing Arts, Science, Mathematics, Business and Technology, International Studies, Research and Medical Science, and the International Baccalaureate Program. Coordinators from the various magnet programs speak with the 8th-grade students and inform them of the requirements for entrance and acceptance into them. Ongoing district support, provided by Lindley's 8th-grade counselor, assists students with obtaining the necessary documents, recommendations, and support throughout the application process.

Title I Personnel/Positions Hired to Support the School Improvement Goals SWP Checklist 2.c(iv) - Section 1114(b)(7)(A)(i-iii)(I-V)

3WF CHECKIIST 2.C(IV) - 3ECTION 1114(D)(7)(A)(I-III)(I-V)						
Position	Supports Goal(s)	Supports which system(s)	How will the primary actions of this position support the implementation of the School Improvement Plan?			
Title I Teachers/Class Side Reduction (4)	⊠ Goal 1 ⊠ Goal 2 □ Goal 3 □ Goal 4	 ☑ Coherent Instruction ☑ Professional Capacity □ Effective Leadership ☑ Supportive Learning Environment ☑ Family Engagement 	Title I teachers will work with students to provide lessons that support their achievement. These teachers will analyze student data to remediate. This position will reduce class sizes in grades 6-7 to give additional support to students who are struggling in math, ELA, and science. The Class Size Reduction teacher will plan engaging lessons that provide effective instruction using evidence-based strategies. The teacher uses assessment data to drive instruction and facilitates activities that address academic, social, and emotional growth. This educator collaborates with colleagues about curriculum, teaching, and data to fuel continual professional improvement. The teacher will also actively communicate with families. Teachers will use common assessments to collect data for effectiveness .and enrich students' needs.			
Paraprofessional	☐ Goal 1 ☐ Goal 2 ☐ Goal 3 ☐ Goal 4	☐ Coherent Instruction ☐ Professional Capacity ☐ Effective Leadership ☐ Supportive Learning Environment ☐ Family Engagement	The Title I paraprofessional will provide instructional support to students in grades 6-8 in science and mathematics.			
	☐ Goal 1 ☐ Goal 2 ☐ Goal 3 ☐ Goal 4	 □ Coherent Instruction □ Professional Capacity □ Effective Leadership □ Supportive Learning Environment □ Family Engagement 				

|--|

School Improvement Goals Include goals on the parent compacts and policy By the end of the 2025-2026 school year, 6th -8th grade students will increase their scores from levels 2-4 from 57.7% (484 students) to (502 students) 60.9 % as measured by the English Language Arts Georgia Milestones Assessment. Goal #1 By the end of the 2025-2026 school year, 6th-8th-grade students will increase their levels 2-4 scores from 67% (572 Students) to 70% (600 Students), as measured by the Mathematics Georgia Milestones End-of-Grade Assessment. Goal #2 By the end of the 2025-2026 school year, 8th-grade students will increase their levels 2 - 4 scores from 66.6% to 70% as measured by the Science Georgia Milestones Assessment. 6th- and 7th-grade students will increase by 5%, 6th grade from 67% to 70%, and 7th grade from 79% to 82%, based on summative assessment scores. Goal #3 By the end of the 2025-2026 school year, 8th-grade students will increase their levels 2 - 4 scores from 70.5% to 73.5 % as measured by the Social Studies Georgia Milestones Assessment. 6th- and 7th-grade students will increase by 5%, 6th grade from 62% to 65%, and 7th grade from Goal #4 81% to 84%, based on summative assessment scores.